



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/506,342	02/18/2000	Allan Heiney	5201.001 8714	
7590 03/24/2004 Liniak, Berenato, Longacre & White 6550 Rock Spring Drive Suite 240			EXAMINER	
			NEGASH, KINFE MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bethesda, MD 20817			2633	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Ž,		09/506,342	HEINEY, ALLAN			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Kinfe-Michael Negash	2633			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the propers of th	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on the	amendment of 1/16/04				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,		prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-25</u> is/are withdra Claim(s) <u>7-16</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification.	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is a	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[ a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Applications  ority documents have been received.  au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	it(s)					
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	irv (PTO-413)			
2)  Notic 3)  Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/506,342 Page 2

Art Unit: 2633

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the 1. disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as single means claims having undue breadth.

Claim 1 is a single means claim having undue breadth. See In re Hyatt, 708 F.2d 712, 714-715, 218 USPQ 195, 197(Fed Cir. 1983). Therefore, claims 1-6 are rejected.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 7-16 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/506,342

Art Unit: 2633

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 1/16/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. First of all, applicant did not realize that claims 1-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as single means claim having undue breadth. So, the response has a wrong premise.

As to the abstract, the abstract is not in proper format(i.e. it has to be on a separate sheet of paper).

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kinfe-Michael Negash whose telephone number is (703)305-4932. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (703)305-4729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kinfe-Michael Negash

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2633

KN March 18, 2004